

|                          |   |   |
|--------------------------|---|---|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | Application No.<br><b>09/522,808</b>  | Applicant(s)<br><b>Molyneaux et al.</b> |
|                          | Examiner<br><b>Tiffany A. Fetzner</b>   | Group Art Unit<br><b>2862</b>           |
|                          |  |   |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tiffany A. Fetzner

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) James S. Parker Reg. No. 40,119

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Nov 30, 2001

Type: a)  Telephonic      b)  Video Conference  
c)  Personal [copy is given to 1)  applicant 2)  applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes    e)  No. If yes, brief description:

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1-45 concerning applicant's election

Identification of prior art discussed:

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Agreement with respect to the claims f)  was reached. g)  was not reached. h)  N/A

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The examiner contacted applicant's attorney because applicant's Nov. 13th 2001 election was not drawn to a single species. In the course of the conversation applicant agreed to elect claims 1-17, 25, 26, 36-41 and 45 without traverse drawn to Figures 1-6 and Figure 13 concerning the MR coil configuration drawn to a single coil, and a coil pair. Claims 18-24, 27-35, and 42-44 have been withdrawn from consideration. Applicant knows that withdrawn claims must be formerly canceled in acknowledgement of applicant's telephone election. The previous election of species requirement from the october 10th 2001 office action has been vacated., in view of the Nov. 21st 2001 telephone election.

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i)  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.